

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 2 DECISION SUMMARY

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	xv
1.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	1-1
2.0 SITE HISTORY AND ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES.....	2-1
2.1 MINING HISTORY	2-1
2.2 REGULATORY HISTORY	2-2
2.3 PAST REMOVAL ACTIONS IN THE BASIN.....	2-4
2.3.1 Human Health	2-4
2.3.2 Ecological	2-6
2.4 SITE INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES	2-6
3.0 COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION	3-1
4.0 SCOPE AND ROLE OF THE SELECTED REMEDY	4-1
4.1 DESCRIPTIONS OF OPERABLE UNITS.....	4-1
4.1.1 Operable Unit 1 (Populated Areas of the Bunker Hill Box).....	4-1
4.1.2 Operable Unit 2 (Non-Populated Areas of the Bunker Hill Box).....	4-2
4.1.3 Operable Unit 3 (Coeur d’Alene Basin)	4-4
4.2 SITE CLEANUP STRATEGY	4-6
5.0 SITE CHARACTERISTICS.....	5-1
5.1 GEOGRAPHY AND TOPOGRAPHY	5-1
5.1.1 Geographical Organization of the Human Health Alternatives.....	5-1
5.1.2 Geographical Organization of the Ecological Alternatives for the Upper Basin and Lower Basin	5-1
5.1.3 Coeur d’Alene Lake	5-2
5.1.4 Spokane River	5-2
5.2 NATURE AND EXTENT OF CONTAMINATION	5-3
5.2.1 Nature and Extent of Contamination Affecting Human Health in the Community and Residential Areas of the Upper Basin and Lower Basin	5-3
5.2.2 Nature and Extent of Contamination Affecting Ecological Receptors in the Upper Basin and Lower Basin	5-5
5.2.3 Nature and Extent of Contamination in Coeur d’Alene Lake.....	5-8
5.2.4 Nature and Extent of Contamination in the Spokane River Upstream of the Spokane Indian Reservation	5-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

6.0	CURRENT AND POTENTIAL FUTURE LAND AND RESOURCE USES	6-1
6.1	CURRENT LAND USE	6-1
6.2	ANTICIPATED FUTURE LAND USES.....	6-2
6.3	SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER USES.....	6-2
7.0	SUMMARY OF RISKS	7-1
7.1	SUMMARY OF HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENTS.....	7-1
7.1.1	Baseline Risk Assessment, Harrison to Mullan.....	7-3
7.1.2	Summary of Screening Level Risk Assessment, Coeur d’Alene Lake.....	7-11
7.1.3	Summary of Screening Level Risk Assessment, Spokane River, Washington State	7-12
7.1.4	Basis for Remedial Action	7-15
7.2	SUMMARY OF ECOLOGICAL RISKS.....	7-17
7.2.1	Habitat Types	7-19
7.2.2	Ecological Receptors	7-21
7.2.3	Ecological Management Goals and Assessment Endpoints	7-22
7.2.4	Chemicals of Potential Ecological Concern	7-23
7.2.5	Analysis of Ecological Risk.....	7-25
7.2.6	Characterization of Ecological Risk	7-29
7.2.7	COEC Concentrations Protective of Receptors	7-31
7.2.8	Ecological Goals for Physical and Biological Characteristics.....	7-33
7.2.9	Conclusions.....	7-33
8.0	REMEDIAL ACTION OBJECTIVES	8-1
8.1	HUMAN HEALTH	8-1
8.2	ECOLOGICAL	8-1
9.0	DESCRIPTIONS OF ALTERNATIVES	9-1
9.1	HUMAN HEALTH ALTERNATIVES FOR THE COMMUNITY AND RESIDENTIAL AREAS	9-2
9.1.1	Soil Alternatives.....	9-2
9.1.2	Drinking Water Alternatives.....	9-4
9.1.3	House Dust Alternatives	9-5
9.1.4	Aquatic Food Sources Alternatives	9-6
9.2	ECOLOGICAL ALTERNATIVES FOR THE UPPER BASIN AND LOWER BASIN	9-7
9.3	COEUR D’ALENE LAKE	9-10

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

9.4	SPOKANE RIVER	9-11
10.0	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES	10-1
10.1	HUMAN HEALTH ALTERNATIVES	10-1
10.2	ECOLOGICAL PROTECTION IN THE UPPER BASIN AND LOWER BASIN	10-2
10.3	COEUR D'ALENE LAKE	10-6
10.4	SPOKANE RIVER	10-6
10.5	CONCLUSIONS FROM COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS	10-6
11.0	PRINCIPAL THREAT WASTE	11-1
12.0	SELECTED REMEDY	12-1
12.1	HUMAN HEALTH PROTECTION IN THE COMMUNITY AND RESIDENTIAL AREAS OF THE UPPER BASIN AND THE LOWER BASIN	12-4
	12.1.1 Description of the Selected Remedy	12-5
	12.1.2 Estimated Remedy Costs	12-12
	12.1.3 Expected Outcomes of Selected Remedy	12-14
12.2	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION IN THE UPPER BASIN AND LOWER BASIN	12-15
	12.2.1 Description of the Selected Remedy	12-16
	12.2.2 Estimated Cost of the Selected Remedy	12-36
	12.2.3 Expected Outcomes of the Selected Remedy	12-38
12.3	COEUR D'ALENE LAKE	12-43
12.4	SPOKANE RIVER	12-44
	12.4.1 Description	12-44
	12.4.2 Estimated Remedy Costs	12-45
	12.4.3 Expected Outcomes of Selected Remedy	12-46
12.5	SITING AND DESIGN OF REPOSITORIES FOR MATERIAL GENERATED BY CLEANUP ACTIVITY	12-48
12.6	MONITORING AND ADDITIONAL DATA NEEDS	12-52
12.7	STATE AND TRIBE ACCEPTANCE	12-54
	12.7.1 State of Idaho Acceptance	12-55
	12.7.2 State of Washington Acceptance	12-56
	12.7.3 Coeur d'Alene Tribe Acceptance	12-57
	12.7.4 Spokane Tribe Acceptance	12-58
	12.7.5 Department of Interior	12-59

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

12.7.6	Department of Agriculture	12-59
12.8	COMMUNITY ACCEPTANCE	12-59
13.0	STATUTORY DETERMINATIONS	13-1
13.1	PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT	13-3
13.1.1	Protection of Human Health in the Community and Residential Areas of the Upper Basin and the Lower Basin	13-3
13.1.2	Protection of the Environment in the Upper Basin and Lower Basin	13-4
13.1.3	Spokane River	13-6
13.2	COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS	13-7
13.3	COST-EFFECTIVENESS	13-17
13.4	UTILIZATION OF PERMANENT SOLUTIONS AND ALTERNATIVE TREATMENT (OR RESOURCE RECOVERY) TECHNOLOGIES TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE	13-19
13.5	PREFERENCE FOR TREATMENT AS A PRINCIPAL ELEMENT	13-20
13.6	FIVE-YEAR REVIEW REQUIREMENTS	13-20
14.0	DOCUMENTATION OF SIGNIFICANT CHANGES	14-1
15.0	REFERENCES	15-1

PART 3 RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY

1.0	OVERVIEW AND BACKGROUND ON COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT	1-1
2.0	GENERAL COMMUNITY CONCERNS AND THEMES	2-1
2.1	HOW COMMUNITIES AND STAKEHOLDERS HAVE SHAPED THE CLEANUP PLAN	2-7
2.1.1	Pre-Proposed Plan Responses to Community Input	2-7
2.1.2	Some Ways That the Proposed Plan and ROD are Responsive to Community Concerns	2-7
2.2	COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES CARRIED OUT BY EPA IN RESPONSE TO REQUESTS	2-9
3.0	OVERVIEW RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY	3-1
3.1	COMMUNITY RELATIONS AND COMMUNITY CONCERNS	3-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

3.1.1	Community Participation in Remedy Selection Process	3-1
3.1.2	Relationship Between Selected Remedy and Basin Environmental Improvement Project Commission	3-2
3.1.3	Control of Cleanup Work.....	3-2
3.1.4	Role of Ombudsman	3-3
3.1.5	Job Opportunities	3-3
3.1.6	Need for Certainty and Closure	3-4
3.2	SITE DEFINITION AND FUNDING.....	3-5
3.2.1	Description of the Superfund Site.....	3-5
3.2.2	Funding for Cleanup in the Coeur d'Alene Basin	3-5
3.3	REMEDY SELECTION PROCESS	3-6
3.3.1	Description of an "Interim Measure".....	3-6
3.3.2	Length of Time, Size, and Complexity of an Interim Measure	3-6
3.3.3	Relationship Between Remedy Selection Requirements and EPA Guidance Documents	3-7
3.3.4	The Selected Remedy in Relationship to Ecological Alternative 3	3-8
3.3.5	The Selected Remedy in Relationship to a Natural Resource Damages Restoration Plan	3-8
3.4	BACKGROUND METALS CONCENTRATIONS	3-9
3.4.1	Background Metal Concentrations Absent Mining Effects	3-9
3.4.2	Mining-Related Sources of Metals	3-10
3.5	REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY	3-10
3.5.1	Scientific Adequacy of RI/FS, Including Risk Assessments, Versus Need for Independent Study	3-10
3.5.2	Adequacy of Data Collected During RI/FS to Select and Design Remedy	3-11
3.6	REMEDY EFFECTIVENESS AND IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES	3-12
3.6.1	Remedy Effectiveness Estimates for Surface Water Quality	3-12
3.6.2	Remedy Performance for Ecological Protection.....	3-12
3.6.3	Estimated Times to Achieve AWQC and the Role of Natural Recovery	3-13
3.6.4	Idaho TMDL for the Coeur d'Alene Basin.....	3-15
3.6.5	Relationship of Forest Management Practices to Recontamination and Water Quality	3-16
3.6.6	Long-Term Protectiveness and Permanence of the Remedy	3-17
3.6.7	Scope of Lower Basin Sediment Removal	3-17
3.6.8	Scope of Remedies for Water Quality and Fish Habitat.....	3-18

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

3.6.9	Siting and Design of Repositories for Material Generated by Cleanup Activities.....	3-19
3.6.10	Treatment of Surface Water from Canyon Creek.....	3-20
3.6.11	Effects of Nonmining Impacts on the Environment.....	3-22
3.7	SELECTED REMEDY FOR HUMAN HEALTH.....	3-22
3.7.1	Development of the Human Health Selected Remedy and EPA National Guidance.....	3-22
3.7.2	Use of Blood Lead Observations in the HHRA and Development of the Proposed Plan.....	3-24
3.7.3	The 2000-2001 Lead Health Intervention Program Blood Lead Screening Results.....	3-27
3.7.4	Lead Based Paint and the Relationship to House Dust and Blood Lead.....	3-29
3.7.5	Comparison of National Declines in Blood Lead Levels and Site-Specific Conditions.....	3-30
3.7.6	Soil Lead Sampling and Particle Size.....	3-30
3.7.7	Bioavailability, Speciation, and the HHRA.....	3-31
3.7.8	Subtle Health Effects of Lead Exposure.....	3-36
3.7.9	Community Support for the Selected Human Health Remedy.....	3-37
3.8	ECOLOGICAL ISSUES.....	3-38
3.8.1	Cleanup Criteria.....	3-38
3.8.2	Waterfowl Issues.....	3-39
3.8.3	Fish Issues.....	3-40
3.8.4	Special-Status Species.....	3-41
3.8.5	Bull Trout.....	3-42
3.9	COEUR D'ALENE LAKE.....	3-42
3.9.1	Relationship Between Selected Remedy and Coeur d'Alene Lake.....	3-42
3.9.2	Lake Management Plan.....	3-43
3.9.3	Potential for Release of Metals from Coeur d'Alene Lake Bottom Sediments.....	3-43
3.10	BUNKER HILL BOX.....	3-44
3.10.1	Bunker Hill Box as Source of Metal Contamination.....	3-44
3.10.2	Relationship Between the Bunker Hill Box and the Selected Remedy.....	3-44
3.11	UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD.....	3-45
3.11.1	UPRR Cleanup in Relationship to the Selected Remedy.....	3-45
3.12	SPOKANE RIVER.....	3-46
3.12.1	Anticipated Water Quality Conditions in the Spokane River.....	3-46

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

3.12.2	Sole-Source Spokane Valley-Rathdrum Aquifer.....	3-46
3.12.3	Cleanup Method for Sediments Behind the Upriver Dam.....	3-47
3.12.4	Remedies for Contaminated Sediments in Shoreline and Depositional Areas	3-48
3.12.5	Protectiveness of Shoreline Remedies	3-48
3.12.6	PCBs in Sediments.....	3-49
3.13	MONITORING.....	3-50
3.13.1	Monitoring as Part of the Selected Remedy for Ecological Improvement	3-50
3.13.2	Monitoring of Fish in Coeur d’Alene Lake and the Spokane River	3-50
4.0	RESPONSES TO INDIVIDUAL COMMENTS	4-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

FIGURES

- 1 Upper Coeur d' Alene Basin Cleanup Actions
- 2 Lower Coeur d' Alene Basin Cleanup Actions
- 3 Spokane River Cleanup Actions

- 1.0-1 Basin Study Area
- 5.2-1 Range of Lead Concentrations in Surface Soils and Sediments in the Coeur d' Alene River Basin
- 5.2-2 Average Metal Concentrations in Soil, Sediment, and Surface Water
- 5.2-3 Estimated Average Values of Dissolved Zinc Loads and Concentrations (1991-1999 Data)
- 5.2-4 Estimated Sources of Dissolved Zinc Load in the Upper Basin (not including the Bunker Hill Box)
- 5.2-5 Dissolved Zinc Loads in Canyon Creek at Woodland Park, September 17, 18, and 19, 1999
- 5.2-6 Discrete and Non-Discrete Sources of Dissolved Zinc Load in South Fork
- 5.2-7 Estimated Average Values of Total Lead Loads and Concentrations (1991-1999 Data)
- 5.2-8 Total Cadmium Concentrations in Spokane River Sediments
- 5.2-9 Lead Concentrations in Spokane River Sediments
- 5.2-10 Zinc Concentrations in Spokane River Sediments

- 7.1-1 Average Child's Basin-Wide Lead Exposure
- 7.1-2 Total RME Noncancer Hazard – Modern and Traditional Subsistence Exposure Scenarios, All Chemicals (Child Age 0 to 6 Years)
- 7.1-3 Total RME Noncancer Hazard – Modern and Traditional Subsistence Exposure Scenarios, All Chemicals (Adult/Child)
- 7.1-4 Total RME Cancer Risk – Modern and Traditional Subsistence Exposure Scenarios (Adult/Child)
- 7.2-1 CSM Unit 1 Boundaries
- 7.2-2 CSM Unit 2 Boundaries
- 7.2-3 CSM Unit 3 Boundaries
- 7.2-4 CSM Unit 4 Boundaries
- 7.2-5 CSM Unit 5 Boundaries
- 7.2-6 Ecological Effects Characterization

- 10.2-1 Estimated Relative Time to Reach AWQC Without Bunker Hill Box Loading
- 10.2-2 Estimated Time to Reach AWQC Without Bunker Hill Box Loading

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

10.2-3	Comparison of Expected Value of Zinc AWQC at Pinehurst Without Bunker Hill Box Loading
10.2-4	Comparison of Expected Value of Zinc AWQC at Harrison Without Bunker Hill Box Loading
12.1-1	Smelterville Soil and Dust Lead Geometric Means 1990-2001
12.1-2	Lower Basin Recreation Areas
12.1-3	Flow Diagram of Dust Mat Monitoring Protocol
12.2-1	Ninemile Creek Cleanup Actions and Fisheries Status After Implementation of the Selected Remedy
12.2-2	East Fork Ninemile Creek Cleanup Locations
12.2-3	Probability of Achieving Various AWQC Ratios as a Function of the Remediation Factor R – Ninemile Station NM305
12.2-4	Comparison of Selected Remedy to Alternative 3, Ninemile Creek
12.2-5	Pine Creek Cleanup Actions and Fisheries Status After Implementation of Selected Remedy
12.2-6	Comparison of Selected Remedy to Alternative 3, Pine Creek
12.2-7	Treatment Pond Conceptual Design
12.2-8	Lower Canyon Creek Cleanup Actions
12.2-9	Canyon Creek Cleanup Locations
12.2-10	Comparison of Selected Remedy to Alternative 3, Canyon Creek
12.2-11	South Fork Cleanup Locations
12.2-12	Upper South Fork Cleanup Locations
12.2-13	Comparison of Selected Remedy to Alternative 3, South Fork
12.2-14	Lower Basin Cleanup Actions
12.2-15	Comparison of Selected Remedy to Complete Remedy
12.2-16	Upper Basin Fishery Status After Implementation of Selected Remedy
12.2-17	Expected Value of Zinc AWQC Ratio at Pinehurst: Selected Remedy
12.2-18	Expected Value of Zinc AWQC Ratio at Harrison: Selected Remedy
12.4-1	Spokane River Cleanup Actions

TABLES

2.1-1	History of Tailings Disposal Practices in the Coeur d'Alene Basin
2.1-2	Preliminary Estimate of Mill Tailings Produced in the Coeur d'Alene Mining District
2.3-1	Removal Actions for Protection of Human Health By Year (Not Including the Bunker Hill Box)

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

2.3-2	Past Cleanup Actions for Ecological Protection
5.2-1	Summary of Lead Concentrations in the Upper and Lower Basin
5.2-2	Summary of Analytical Results for Metals in Soil
5.2-3	Summary of Analytical Results for Metals in House Dust
5.2-4	Summary of Analytical Results for Metals in Drinking Water
5.2-5	Summary of Estimated Basin Ecological Source Quantities
5.2-6	Estimated Average (Expected) Values of Metals Concentrations in Surface Water in the Basin, 1991-1999 Data
5.2-7	Estimated Average (Expected) Values of Metals Loads in Surface Water in the Basin, 1991-1999 Data
5.2-8	Summary of Floodplain Areas Affected by Lead, by Wetland Unit
5.2-9	Metals Loads and Retention in Coeur d'Alene Lake
6.3-1	Surface Water Designated Beneficial Uses in Idaho
6.3-2	Coeur d'Alene River Basin Public Drinking Water Systems
6.3-3	Estimated Number of Residences with Private, Unregulated Drinking Water Sources
7.1-1	Summary of Chemicals of Concern and Medium-Specific Exposure Point Concentrations Current/Future Residential Exposure Scenario
7.1-2	Summary of Chemicals of Concern and Medium-Specific Exposure Point Concentrations Current/Future Neighborhood Recreational Exposure Scenario
7.1-3	Summary of Chemicals of Concern and Medium-Specific Exposure Point Concentrations Current/Future Public Recreational Exposure Scenario
7.1-4	Summary of Chemicals of Concern and Medium-Specific Exposure Point Concentrations Future Residential Use of Tap Water
7.1-5	Summary of Chemicals of Concern and Medium-Specific Exposure Point Concentrations Future Subsistence Scenario in the Lower Basin
7.1-6	Selection of Exposure Pathways Baseline Risk Assessment, Harrison to Mullan
7.1-7	Residential Exposure Factors for Non-Lead Chemicals
7.1-8	Neighborhood Recreational Exposure Factors for Non-Lead Chemicals
7.1-9	Public Recreational Exposure Factors for Non-Lead Chemicals
7.1-10	Occupational Exposure Factors for Non-Lead Chemicals
7.1-11	Toxicity Data Summary
7.1-12a	Predicted Lead Risk for a Typical Child Upper Basin, Side Gulches, and Kingston
7.1-12b	Predicted Lead Risk for a Typical Child Lower Basin
7.1-13	RME Risk Characterization Summary - Carcinogens Residential Exposure Scenario - Child/Adult

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

7.1-14	RME Risk Characterization Summary - Non-Carcinogens Residential Exposure Scenario – Child
7.1-15	RME Risk Characterization Summary - Non-Carcinogens Residential Exposure Scenario - Child/Adult
7.1-16	RME Risk Characterization Summary - Non-Carcinogens Public Recreational Exposure Scenario - Child
7.1-17	RME Risk Characterization Summary - Carcinogens Subsistence Exposure Scenario - Child/Adult
7.1-18	RME Risk Characterization Summary - Non-Carcinogens Subsistence Exposure Scenario - Child
7.1-19	RME Risk Characterization Summary - Non-Carcinogens Subsistence Exposure Scenario - Child/Adult
7.1-20	Potential Soil Cleanup Levels for Arsenic Using Various Target Risk Goals and Scenarios
7.1-21	Summary of Chemicals of Concern and Exposure Point Concentrations in Spokane River CUA Sediment
7.1-22	Summary of Chemicals of Concern and Exposure Point Concentrations in Spokane River Fish Tissue
7.2-1	Summary of Representative Species Evaluated in Coeur d’Alene Basin
7.2-2	Concentrations of Chemicals of Potential Ecological Concern, Soil-Sediment Combined
7.2-3	Chemicals of Potential Ecological Concern, Aquatic Sediments
7.2-4	Chemicals of Potential Ecological Concern, Aquatic Surface Water – Dissolved Metals
7.2-5	Chemicals of Potential Ecological Concern, Aquatic Surface Water – Total Metals
7.2-6	COEC Concentrations for Soil (mg/kg) Protective for Terrestrial Biota
7.2-7	COEC Concentrations for Sediment (mg/kg) Protective for Aquatic Birds and Mammals
7.2-8	COEC Concentrations for Surface Water Protective for Aquatic Organisms
7.2-9	COEC Concentrations for Sediment Protective for Aquatic Organisms
7.2-10	Protective Goals for Physical and Biological Characteristics
7.2-11	Summary of Results from the Coeur d’Alene Basin Ecological Risk Assessment
7.2-12	Summary of Results from the Measures of Ecosystem and Receptor Characteristics Analysis in the Coeur d’Alene Ecological Risk Assessment
8.1-1	Remedial Action Objectives for Protection of Human Health
8.1-2	ARARs for Drinking Water
8.2-1	Remedial Action Objectives for Protection of Ecological Receptors

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

8.2-2	Water Quality Standards and Criteria for Protection of Aquatic Life in Surface Water in the Upper Basin (CSM Units 1 and 2)
8.2-3	Water Quality Standards and Criteria for Protection of Aquatic Life in the Lower Basin, Coeur d'Alene Lake, and Spokane River Within Idaho (CSM Units 3, 4 and 5)
8.2-4	Water Quality Standards and Criteria for Protection of Aquatic Life in Surface Water in the Spokane River Within Washington (CSM Unit 5)
9.2-1	Summary of Ecological Alternatives Developed for the Upper and Lower Basins
9.2-2	Descriptions of Typical Conceptual Designs (TCDs) Used with Alternatives 2, 3, and 4
9.2-3	Summary of Estimated Unit Costs for Removal, Containment, and Treatment TCDs Alternatives 2, 3, and 4
9.2-4	Summary of Estimated Bioengineering TCD Unit Costs, Alternatives 2, 3, and 4
9.2-5	Summary of Estimated Unit Costs for Lower Basin TCDs, Alternatives 2, 3, and 4
9.2-6	Description of Alternative 5 (State of Idaho) TCDs and Estimated Unit Costs
9.2-7	Alternative 6 (Mining Companies) TCDs and Estimated Unit Costs
9.2-8	Summary of Basin Source Quantities Addressed by Alternative
9.2-9	Contaminated Habitat Area Remediated by Alternative
9.2-10	Estimated Effectiveness of the Ecological Alternatives for the Upper Basin and Lower Basin for Reducing Dissolved Metals Loads in the Coeur d'Alene River
10.0-1	Evaluation Criteria for Superfund Remedial Alternatives
10.1-1	Comparison of Soil Alternatives for Protection of Human Health in Residential and Community Areas
10.1-2	Comparison of House Dust Alternatives for Protection of Human Health in Residential and Community Areas
10.1-3	Comparison of Drinking Water Alternatives for Protection of Human Health in Residential and Community Areas
10.1-4	Comparison of Aquatic Food Sources Alternatives for Protection of Human Health
10.2-1	Comparison of Ecological Alternatives for the Upper Basin and Lower Basin
10.3-1	Comparison of Alternatives for Coeur d'Alene Lake
10.4-1	Comparison of Alternatives for the Spokane River
12.0-1	Summary of Feasibility Study Alternatives Used and Estimated Costs of the Selected Remedy
12.1-1	Estimated Number of Residential Yards Exceeding Lead Cleanup Levels in the Upper Basin and Lower Basin
12.1-2	Summary of the Selected Remedy for Human Health Protection in Community and Residential Areas

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

12.1-3	1996 Blood Lead Levels in 1- to 6-Year-Old Children in the Affected Communities in the Coeur d'Alene Basin, Excluding the Bunker Hill Box
12.1-4	1997 Blood Lead Levels in 1- to 6-Year-Old Children in the Affected Communities in the Coeur d'Alene Basin, Excluding the Bunker Hill Box
12.1-5	1998 Blood Lead Levels in 1- to 6-Year-Old Children in the Affected Communities in the Coeur d'Alene Basin, Excluding the Bunker Hill Box
12.1-6	1999 Blood Lead Levels in 1- to 6-Year-Old Children in the Affected Communities in the Coeur d'Alene Basin, Excluding the Bunker Hill Box
12.1-7	2000 Blood Lead Levels in 1- to 6-Year-Old Children in the Affected Communities in the Coeur d'Alene Basin, Excluding the Bunker Hill Box
12.1-8	2001 Blood Lead Levels in 1- to 6-Year-Old Children in the Affected Communities in the Coeur d'Alene Basin, Excluding the Bunker Hill Box
12.1-9	Blood Lead Screening Results for the Basin by Year (Ages 0-6 Only)
12.1-10	Estimated Number of Residences With Drinking Water MCL Exceedances in the Upper Basin and Lower Basin
12.1-11	Estimated Costs for Residential Soil
12.1-12	Estimated Costs for Street Rights-of-Way, Commercial Properties, and Common Areas
12.1-13	Summary of Estimated Costs for Recreation Areas
12.1-14	Summary of Estimated Costs for House Dust
12.1-15	Summary of Estimated Costs for Drinking Water
12.1-16	Summary of Estimated Costs for Aquatic Food Sources
12.2-1	Summary of the Selected Remedy for Ecological Protection in the Upper Basin and Lower Basin
12.2-2	Summary of Anticipated Fisheries Status After Implementation of the Selected Remedy
12.2-3	Summary of Estimated Costs for Ninemile Creek
12.2-4	Summary of Estimated Costs for Pine Creek
12.2-5	Summary of Estimated Costs for Canyon Creek
12.2-6	Summary of Estimated Costs for the South Fork
12.2-7	Summary of Estimated Costs for Lead in Floodplains
12.2-8	Summary of Estimated Costs for Particulate Lead in Surface Water
12.4-1	Summary of the Selected Remedy for the Spokane River
12.4-2	Summary of Estimated Cost Range for the Spokane River

PART 3 TABLES

3.7-1	Summary Statistics for Environmental Variables for Two Data Sets
3.7-2a	IEUBK Batch Mode Overall Observed vs. Predicted Blood Lead by Geographic Area, Ages 9-84 Months: Default and 40:30:30 - with repeat observations

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

3.7-2b	IEUBK Batch Mode Overall Observed vs. Predicted Blood Lead by Geographic Area, Ages 9-84 Months: Default and 40:30:30 - without repeat observations
3.7-3a	IEUBK Batch Mode Observed vs. Predicted Blood Lead by Geographic Area, Ages 9-60 Months: Default and 40:30:30 - with repeat observations
3.7-3b	IEUBK Batch Mode Observed vs. Predicted Blood Lead by Geographic Area, Ages 9-60 Months: Default and 40:30:30 - without repeat observations
3.7-4a	IEUBK Batch Mode Observed vs. Predicted Blood Lead by Geographic Area, Ages 9-24 Months: Default and 40:30:30 - with repeat observations
3.7-4b	IEUBK Batch Mode Observed vs. Predicted Blood Lead by Geographic Area, Ages 9-24 Months: Default and 40:30:30 - without repeat observations
3.7-5a	General Linear Model and Regression Coefficients for Blood Lead and Environmental Sources - with repeat observations
3.7-5b	General Linear Model and Regression Coefficients for Blood Lead and Environmental Sources - without repeat observations
3.7-6	Blood Lead Declines in National Surveys, Smelterville, and Kellogg
4-1	Individual Comments and Responses Organized by Name of Person Providing Comment
4-2	Referenced Responses Organized in Numerical Order

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ALAD	delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase
AMD	acid mine drainage
AOC	administrative order on consent or area of contamination
ARARs	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements
ARPA	Archeological Resources Protection Act
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
AWQC	ambient water quality criteria (national recommended water quality criteria)
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CAC	Citizen's Advisory Committee
CDA	Coeur d'Alene
CDC	Centers for Disease Control
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CERCLIS	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	cubic feet per second
CIA	Central Impoundment Area
CIP	community involvement plan
COC	chemical of concern
COEC	chemical of environmental concern
COPC	chemical of potential concern
COPEC	chemical of potential environmental concern
CSM	conceptual site model
CTP	Central Treatment Plant
CUA	common use area
CV	coefficient of variation
cy	cubic yard
DA	depositional area
DOI	Department of Interior
DOJ	Department of Justice
ED ₂₀	effective dose (corresponding to a 20% increase in an adverse effect, relative to the control response)
EE/CA	engineering evaluation/cost analysis
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPC	exposure point concentration
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESD	explanation of significant differences
FS	feasibility study
FSPA	field sampling plan amendment

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS (Continued)

HEPA	high efficiency particulate arresting
HHRA	human health risk assessment
HI	hazard index
HQ	hazard quotient
ICP	Institutional Controls Program
IDAPA	Idaho Administrative Procedures Act
IDEQ	Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
IDHW	Idaho Department of Health and Welfare
IEUBK	integrated exposure uptake biokinetic model
LDR	land disposal restriction
LHIP	Lead Health Intervention Program
LOAEL	lowest observed adverse effects level
LOEC	lowest observed effects concentration
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MCLG	maximum contaminant level goal
µg/L	micrograms per liter
µg/dL	micrograms per deciliter
µm	micrometer
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram
MTCA	Model Toxics Control Act
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAGPRA	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act
NCP	National Oil and Hazardous Substances Contingency Plan
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NOAEL	no observed adverse effects level
NOEC	no observed effects concentration
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRD	natural resources damage
O&M	operation and maintenance
OSWER	Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response
OU	operable unit
PHD	Panhandle Health District
PRG	preliminary remediation goal
PRP	potentially responsible party
RAO	remedial action objective
RBC	risk-based criteria
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RfD	reference dose

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS (Continued)

RI	remedial investigation
RME	reasonable maximum exposure
ROD	Record of Decision
ROW	right-of-way
SAB	Service Advisory Board
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SCS	Supplemental Control System
SVNRT	Silver Valley Natural Resources Trustees
TBC	to be considered
TCD	typical conceptual design
TMDL	total maximum daily load
TRV	toxicity reference value
TT	treatment technique
UCL ₉₅	95 percent upper confidence level
UPRR	Union Pacific Railroad
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USFS	United States Forest Service
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
WAC	Washington Administrative Code